RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL REGARDING THE ACCEPTANCE/REJECTION OF A FINAL PLAT

Whereas, <u>Dan Davidson</u> (Ov	vner) has submitted a final plat f	or a two-lot minor subdivision
named <u>Davidson Place</u> lo	cated at <u>1008 Troy O'Fallon</u>	Road, Troy, Illinois with parcel
ID # <u>09-1-22-22-00-000-00</u>	11.001; and	
Whereas, the City Council reviewed	l the final development plan for	compliance with the provisions of
Chapter 153 of the Troy Code of	of Ordinances and voted as recor	ded below:
Aldermen:		
DeCarli Absent	Italiano <u> </u>	Total:
Evans	Jackson	Ayes
Evans Greenfield	Lanahan	Nays
Hendrickson	Partney	•
		
Non Therefore desired	C. CT III	
Now Therefore, the City Council of the	he City of Troy, Illinois	
Approves the final plat		
Rejects the final plat		
		the City Clerk shall give the Code
	f the Council's resolution of appr	oval or disapproval to be attached to
the final plat.		
Dated this <u>5th</u> day of <u>August</u>	2013	0 0
Dated this day of	, 2013.	1001
	By	In t. (Usa)
		en P. Adomite, Mayor
		, <u>,</u>
Attest Devil Myl	15	
Jamie Myers, City Clerk		
City Cool		
City Seal		

RECOMMENDATION No. 2013 ~ 01PC

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission met on <u>March 14, 2013</u> to consider an application for variations to the Subdivision Ordinance filed by <u>Dan Davidson</u> . A copy of the application and certification regarding publication of the notice of hearing and of notification to surrounding property owners are incorporated by reference; and
WHEREAS, this application applies to property located1008 Troy O'Fallon Road with permanent parcel ID number
WHEREAS, the Planning Commission considered the testimony and exhibits offered on behalf of the application and the following citizens also testified regarding the application:
; and
WHEREAS, the Planning Commission considered the variance standards as described in the <i>City of Troy Subdivision Ordinance</i> , <i>Section 153.194 Review by the Planning Commission</i> and has attached as Exhibit A their findings to support this recommendation; and
WHEREAS, following the testimony and deliberations, members of the Planning Commission voted as recorded below:
Burnett N Hellrung Y Nehrt Total: Cissell Y Johnson Y Turner Y 6 Yeas Hale Y Lawrenz Y Nays
Now Therefore, be it resolved by the Planning Commission as follows:
1. That the application for the following: <u>To permit variations from § 153.024 Street Frontage Required</u>
and § 153.067 Dedication for Public Use of the Troy Code of Ordinances to allow "flag lots" without public street
frontage and private streets in Davidson Place, a proposed minor subdivision at 1008 Troy O'Fallon Road .
Variation IS NOT recommended: Variation IS recommended: X with the following stipulations:
Side for use by all three lots and remove the current drive. All three property owners will maintain the entire drive from front to back. 2. A copy of this recommendation is presented to the City Council; the original shall be filed with the Code Administrator.
ADOPTED this 14th day of March , 2013. By: Sharman Planning Commission
Attest: Eurabeth Hollrung Secretary Planning Commission

Addendum A

Planning Commission's Advisory Report

As per Section 153.194 Review by the Planning Commission, the Planning Commission shall review the application for variation and the Code Administrator's comments, and submit its advisory report to the City Council together with its recommendation. The Planning Commission's advisory report shall be responsive to all of the variance standards:

Variance Standards:		Findings:
(1)	The proposed variation is consistent with the general purposes of this chapter;	Yes No□ N/A□
(2)	Strict application of the subdivision design and improvement requirements would result in great practical difficulties or hardship to the applicant, not a mere convenience;	Yes No□ N/A□
(3)	The proposed variance is the minimum deviation from the subdivision requirements that will alleviate the difficulties/hardship;	Yes No□ N/A□
(4)	The plight of the applicant is due to peculiar circumstances not of his or her own making;	Yes□ No□ N/A
(5)	The peculiar circumstances engendering the variation request are not applicable to other tracts and, therefore, that a variation would be a more appropriate remedy than an amendment; and	Yes No□ N/A□
(6)	The variation, if granted, will not substantially impair implementation of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Official Map.	Yes□ No N/A□

Additional comments:

The by regaining the private drive be used by all three lots (and the existing lot be removed), entrance/exit on tray o'Fallon Road is safer. Additionally if all three lots use the drive they are more likely to agree on maintenance.

The 3/14/13 Eurobeth Albruig 3-14-B Secretary Planning Commission Date